MINUTES OF KIAMBU MUNICIPALITY CITIZEN FORA MEETING HELD ON DATE: 12/03/2020 FOR REALIZING THE FULL IMPACT FROM URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS (KIAMBU MUNICIPALITY) AT KIAMBU COUNTY HEADQUATERS FROM 10:30 A.M PICTURES











MEMBERS PRESENT

See attached **AGENDA**

- 1. Opening Remarks
- 2. Presentation of Progress Report(Municipal Manager)
- 3. Presentation by the Consultant
- 4. Group Discussion Presentations
- 5. Closing remarks and conclusion

PRELIMINARY

✤ Introduction and prayer

MIN 1(11/03/20): OPENING REMARKS

The meeting started at 10:30 a.m. with registration of the stakeholders at the registration being guided by then followed the opening prayers from Joyce Waite.

The Municipal manager officially kicked off the meeting and started with introduction of the Kiambu Municipal Board Chairman, Mr. Kimani and board members present, sub county administrators, MCAs and consultancy team.

Mr. Kimani welcomed the public and encouraged them to give their views on the proposed projects. He informed the public that the project is funded by the World Bank for improvement of infrastructure and Kiambu should be quick to pass projects to ensure funds are put to use.

MIN 2(12/03/2020): PRESENTATION OF THE PROGRESS REPORT BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER.

Municipal Manager, Mr. Joshua Muthee Kick started the presentation.

UPGRADING OF KIAMBU BYPASS TO BITUMINOUS STANDARD AND KIAMBU BUS PARK IN KIAMBU

MUNICIPALITY

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The road project is approximately 2km in length and comprises of three (3) main alignments as indicated below: -

S/NO.	ALIGNMENT	LENGTH (M)
1.	Loop 1	1,045
2.	Loop 2	55
3.	Loop 3	900
	TOTAL	2,000

The proposed road will be upgraded to bitumen standard.

Bus park project is approximately 3,300 square metres and is to be upgraded to paving blocks (cabro) standard.

2.0 CONTRACT DATA

Project Title:	Proposed Upgrading of Kiambu Bypass to Bituminous Standard and Kiambu Bus park in Kiambu municipality CONTRACT NO. LHPP/MAUD/KUSP/KBU/001/2018/2019
Financier:	The World Bank through Kenya Urban Support Programme (KUSP)
Employer:	Kiambu Municipality Board P.O. Box 2344– 00900,Kiambu
Employer's Representative:	Municipal Manager, Kiambu Municipality P.O. Box 2344– 00900,Kiambu
Engineer:	Municipal Engineer, Kiambu Municipality P.O. Box 2344– 00900,Kiambu.
Engineer's Representative:	Municipal Roads Engineer, Kiambu Municipality P.O. Box 2344– 00900,Kiambu.
Contractor:	M/s Adequate Machinery Construction Co. Ltd, P.O BOX 79233-00200, NAIROBI.
Date of Award:	17 th January 2019
Contract Sum:	Kshs 103,666,118
Date Agreement signed	17 th January 2019
Revised Contract Sum:	Nil
Date of order to commence:	25 th February 2019
Period of commencement:	14 days
Site possession date:	6 th March 2019
Commencement date:	12 th March 2019
Original Contract Period:	12months
Revised Contract Period	None
Original date of Completion:	12 th March 2020
Revised date of Completion	None

Defects Liability Period:	365 days
Time elapsed:	8.5 Months
% of Contract period elapsed:	70.8%
% Of works done (Approx):	30%
Amount certified to date:	19,189,510.87
Amount paid	19,189,510.87
% of contract certified:	18.5%
Date of this report:	31 st December 2019

3.0 CHALLENGES

- 1) Contractor is not-cooperative-For instance he doesn't act promptly on issued instructions and doesn't not respond to Engineer's letters.
- 2) Slow progress-Progress to date is approximately 30% against a time lapse of 70.8%. The contractor will not be able to complete works within the contract period.

MIN 3(12/03/2020): PRESENTATION BY THE CONSULTANT.

MR. F.K Kariuki started off the meeting by explaining that the public fora is very important as it is a requirement by the law as well as a necessary requirement for conditional grants. He also said that the municipality is a great opportunity for growth.

- Presentation Outline
 - About the consultancy.
 - KUSP Overview and Guidelines on 2020/21 Projects
 - Sample Projects for 2020/21
- Sample National Ministries and Development Partners
 - Ministry Of Education
 - Ministry Of Trade, Industry And Cooperatives
 - Ministry Of Devolution And Planning
 - Ministry Of Agriculture, Livestock & Fisheries
 - Ministry Of Petroleum And Mining
 - Ministry Of Forestry And Wildlife
- KUSP Overview and Guidelines on 2020/21 Projects
- Global urbanization Perspectives According to UN

55% of the work population live in urban areas today. BY 2050, 68% of world population will be living in urban areas. **Global perspectives of an urban economy**

- global competitiveness
- local economic development
- urban investments
- rural urban linkages
- specialized urban areas
- industrial development
- ✤ Africa and Kenya
 - 40% of Africans live in urban areas according to Mckinsey Global Institute.
 - Today 25% of Kenyans live in urban areas-we are under urbanized.
 - By 2050, 50% of Kenyans will live in urban area.
- ✤ Vision 2030 and NUDP
 - The overarching vision for Kenya is `a globally competitive and highly prosperous nation with a high quality of life by 2030-see social pillar
 - The Vision of NUDP is to have a secure, well governed, competitive and sustainable urban areas for achievement of the vision 2030.
 - NUDP objective is... Facilitate urbanization ,good governance, delivery of accessible and efficient infrastructure services
 - Provides a framework for sustainable urban development in Kenya to the benefit of all
- ✤ KUSP Overview
- Program Objective: to support the establishment and strengthening of urban institutions and systems to deliver improved infrastructure and services
- Program Description: KUSP will offer grants to national government entities, county administrations, and potentially, urban administrations that opt in to the programme and meet agreed minimum conditions and performance measures. Specifically, the programme will:
- Window 1: Support National Government entities to implement the National Urban Development Policy (NUDP)
- Window 2: Support County Government administrations to develop and implement county-level urban development policies and integrated strategic plans, establish urban management systems, develop legislation and regulations to better manage urban areas, help to build the capacity of urban administrations, and implement and enforce county policies and legislation
- Window 3: Support Urban Administrations—through their counties—for the development of urban plans, systems, and the delivery of urban infrastructure and services
- Use of Urban Development Grant(UDG)

Eligible Urban Functional investment areas	Non-eligible investments areas	
 Waste management (liquid and solid) Examples: Solid waste: collection equipment, collection bins, transfer stations, collection points (construction of sanitary landfill excluded) Liquid waste: sludge ponds, community septic tanks, vacuum trucks, vacuum handcarts, other 	 Any investment projects that trigg Category A environmental impact (as defined by the World Bank¹²) The following types of investments power plants; dams; highways; urban metro systems; railways and ports; 	
2. Storm water drainage o Urban drainage systems; flood control systems		
3. Connectivity (roads, non-motorized transport facilities, and street and security lights) Urban roads pedestrian walkways and bicycle paths, street and security lights and road signs 	 engineered landfills; and office buildings. Land acquisition 	
4. Urban socio-economic infrastructure ○ Urban greenery and public spaces	 Investment projects that are not included in the urban IDeP or urban spatial plan. 	
5. Fire and disaster management • Fire control stations and disaster management equipment (fire fighting trucks, rehabilitation and/or construction of new fire fighting station and facilities)		

General rules for UDG investments:

- Proposed investments must be reflected in the five year and annual urban Investment Plan
- Investments can be for both rehabilitation and construction of new infrastructure
- Project budgets should be 100% secured and covered the concerned fiscal year. No project shall start with partial funding assuming that remaining funds will become available later.
- At least 80% of the UDG shall be spent on non-moveable infrastructure assets. This means that overall, less than 20% of the UDG shall be spent on moveable assets (e.g. vehicles, equipment); this figure shall be calculated and show in the annual urban investment plan
- To avoid the fragmentation of urban investments (and reduce required procurement efforts), investment projects are subject to a <u>minimum</u> investment of KES 50 million (USD 500,000). This requirement is subject to the following caveat: Municipalities shall be permitted to combine a number of related urban infrastructure items in the same geographic area into a single procurement package.
- In order to finance investment preparation costs, municipalities shall be permitted to spend max 5% of their UDG allocations on the design, costing and supervision of investment projects.

KUSP Overview – Key Urban Infrastructure Issues

- Non eligible Investments- e.g. water supply infrastructure projects
- Non- impactful projects
- Preparation and services being above 5%
- Investments above the defined 20% threshold of moveable assets
- Fragmentation Projects budgeted and contracted below the minimum threshold of 50 M; (scattering of projects)
- Projects out of the Urban Areas
- No evidence showing that the investments were fully financially secured
- Projects not reflected within the investment plans
- Investment plans missing
- Approval coming in late for Annual Investment Plans
- Misappropriation and misallocation of funds
- Incomplete and low impact- projects
- Delayed projects caused by:
- Availability of land
- Contractor liquidity
- Encroachment on corridors
- sites not availed
- no public participation in project proposed
- Procurement of UDG Funded Projects
- KUSP Overview Key Project related Procurement Issues
 - incomplete procurement dossiers
 - no procurement yet/Annual Investment Plan availed
 - no designs
 - no BoQs
 - evidence of advertising not availed
 - no contract registers
 - no project supervision committee appointment letters
- KUSP Overview Kiambu Municipality

Kiambu Municipality



Name of	Area/Group	Problem Identified	Proposed solution
proponent	Representation		
Elias Munyiri	Ting'ang'a ward	• There is marginalization of certain areas.	• All areas of the municipality should be included in development planning
			 Mugumo road (2.5km) should be tarmacked and NMT facilities provided. Storm water drains along Riabai road should be reconstructed to avoid flooding downhill. Streetlights should be installed along the upgraded roads
Peter George Kamau	Ndumberi ward		 More public participation should be done when the contracts for developments are issued. Ndumberi- Gichocho- Riabai road (3.5km) should be tarmacked. Pamu- kaburi- kanunga road should be tarmacked Early bird road needs to be upgraded. The ndumberi town road should be recarpetted. Rehabilitation of Turitu town which includes Street lighting. Flood lights should be installed in town centers.
Samuel Mwangi	Township ward	• Connectivity and circulation.	 Posta- Indian bazaar road should be expanded and street lighting done. The bus park should be upgraded to a multi storey bus park and the kiosks at the perimeter upgraded to modern viosks. The juakali park should be upgraded to a modern light industrial park. Toilets should be constructed at market C (wholesalers market). Beautification of Kiambu Township and the street lights be rehabilitated. A Footbrige should be constructed connecting kiambu town and Indian bazaar. Kiamumbi- kukenda- gitamaiyo road should be upgraded. Need for a modern library with an ECD.

MIN 4(12/03/2020): GROUP DISCUSSION PRESENTATIONS.

			 Need for a community polytechnic within the township.
Francis Gitau. (Chairman PWD)	Riabai ward	• PWD are not constituted in the municipal board.	 Riabai- Gichocho- Ndumberi road (4km) should be tarmacked. A secondary Sewer line should be constructed Gichocho-riabai- kiu river to connect to the primary sewer at Kiu River. Rehabilitation of kirigiti town; Including storm water drains and street lighting and beautification. NMT should be provided at kirigiti round about to reduce vehicular and pedestrian conflict. 70% of projects should be given to locals to improve the employment in the municipality.

MIN 5(12/03/2020): CLOSING REMARKS. The Municipal chairperson invited the MCAs to give their remarks.

MCA, Ndumberi	• There is need to have development done all through the municipality.
MCA, Riabai	 The meeting was well done. Projects should be started and completed promptly. Projects should not be fragmented.
MCA, Ting'ang'a. Covenant	 He said he was willing to work with other MCAs to see projects are done to completion. He urged residents to apply for local contracts advertised in the papers. The municipal board should push for equal representation of people in the board. The municipal board should ensure contracts are completed in time. Ward MOUs should be followed through.
MCA, township ward	 Feels that the township ward should be given priority because most people from the other wards to work in town the whole day. The road and market was one project and that is why it was awarded to one contractor. His time hasn't elapsed and therefore he will be recalled if his time elapses before he completes his work. The posta- indian bazaar road is already under construction. Kiamumbi should expect 4km of murram road.

• The road at Thindigua (4.1km) will be tarmacked
e , ,
and street lights installed to improve the security
in Thindigua.
• The market at Indian bazaar will commence
works soon.
• The juakali hub should be improved to a modern
light industrial park.
• Gioto will be leveled by pouring soil and the land
used to expand the light industrial park.
• Consider converting large parking space at the
county headquarters into a public park.

A vote of thanks was given by Mr. Githuka

He thanked the residents for their attendance and participation in the fora. He said that the municipal board is committed towards implementing the proposed projects. He also thanked he MCAs for their attendance and continued support of the municipality. He also thanked the consultants for their good planning.

The meeting was closed with a prayer by a resident and officially closed by the municipal board chairman.